arXiv:1704.04458v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 14 Apr 2017

Two-Loop Corrections to Large Order Behavior of φ^4 Theory

Enrico M. Malatesta,[1] Giorgio Parisi,[2,3] and Tommaso Rizzo[2,4]

[1] *Università di Milano, Dip. di Fisica and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milan, Italy*
[2] *Dip. Fisica, Università "Sapienza", Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185, Rome, Italy*
[3] *INFN, Sezione di Roma I, CNR-NANOTEC UOS Roma*
[4] *ISC-CNR, UOS Rome, Università "Sapienza", Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185, Rome, Italy*

We consider the large order behavior of the perturbative expansion of the scalar φ^4 field theory in terms of a perturbative expansion around an instanton solution. We have computed the series of the free energy up to two-loop order in two and three dimension. Topologically there is only an additional Feynman diagram with respect to the previously known one dimensional case, but a careful treatment of renormalization is needed. The propagator and Feynman diagrams were expressed in a form suitable for numerical evaluation. We then obtained explicit expressions summing over $O(10^3)$ distinct eigenvalues determined numerically with the corresponding eigenfunctions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Perturbation theory is one of the few powerful analytical tools through which one can calculate physical quantities in an interacting field theory [1]. However, as pointed out for the first time by Dyson in the framework of QED [2], the most common perturbation expansions we use in field theory are not convergent, but only asymptotic. In addition the expansion parameter may not be always small: this is the case, unlike QED, of critical phenomena [3]. It is therefore essential to understand how to extract numerical and physical information from the perturbative coefficients of the series. One of the first attempts to sum the perturbative series were given by [4], using Padè approximants. However, the convergence couldn't be tested since they used only a few perturbative coefficients. Then it was proposed to sum the perturbative series using the Borel summation method, combined to Padè approximants [5]. It was subsequently realized that, when one knows the behavior of the coefficients of the series at large orders, one can sum the series using a much more powerful method that combines a Borel transformation and a conformal mapping. This has allowed to estimate critical exponents with a very good precision and for a large variety of models [6–11]. The behavior of the perturbative series at large order has been of extreme importance not only in understanding the domain of validity of the theory, but also because we can use this information to accurately determine physical quantities, even if the coupling constant is not so small. Bender and Wu [12, 13], using WKB method, succeeded in evaluating the large order behavior of the one-dimensional quartic anharmonic oscillator, showing how it is related to barrier penetration effects when the sign of the coupling constant is negative. Then Lipatov and others [14–17], using a dispersion relation approach, proved that the large order behavior of perturbation theory is related to the instability of the theory for negative values of the coupling constant and that it can then be obtained using the so-called "pseudoparticle" or *instanton* solutions of the classical equation of motion. This method not only gives the same results of semiclassical calculations concerning the one-dimensional quantum anharmonic oscillator [18, 19], but can also be extended to the field theory case and can in principle be used for more complex types of interactions. In this paper we will focus on the φ^4 field theory in $d < 4$, where the Borel summability of the perturbative series has been rigorously proven [20–22]. Expanding around the instanton saddle point adds some technical difficulties since it breaks explicitly the time-translational invariance of the theory. This induces zero modes over which one must integrate via the *collective coordinates* method [23]. In 2 and 3 dimension, one has another, additional problem: renormalization. Order by order the mass counterterm that makes the original perturbative series finite, should also renormalize the instanton perturbative series. At one loop order, the only divergence occurs in the calculation of the determinant orthogonal to zero modes and indeed this is canceled by the first order expansion of the mass counterterm [24]. The two-loop order series of the partition function has been computed in the $d = 1$ case (quantum mechanics) both with WKB and Lipatov method [18, 19]. In that case, since one can write down the explicit formula for the propagator, it is also possible to analytically evaluate the correction. In this work we compute the two-loop order series of the partition function in $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we will review the one loop result, for completeness. In Section III we will perform the expansion to the two loop order of the action. In Section IV the two loop correction to the large order behavior of the partition function is written in terms of diagrams, and their renormalization is discussed. We will see also how one last divergence in Z_k, which is not completely canceled by the counterterms, is eliminated once we evaluate the large order behavior of the free energy. In Section V we will express the diagrams in a form suitable to numerical integration and give our results. In Section VI we present our conclusions.

II. ONE LOOP COMPUTATION

The φ^4 partition function has the following expression

$$Z(g) = \int \mathscr{D}\varphi \; e^{-S(\varphi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k \, g^k \,, \tag{1a}$$

$$S(\varphi) = \int d^d x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{g}{4}\varphi^4 + \frac{\delta m^2}{2}\varphi^2 \right] \tag{1b}$$

where δm^2 is the mass counterterm. We normalize the path integral with respect to the free partition function $Z(0)$. $Z(g)$ is an analytic function in the whole complex plane, with a cut on the real negative axis. One can write down a relation that connects the perturbative coefficient Z_k to the imaginary part of $Z(g)$

$$Z_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dg \, \frac{\mathrm{Im}\, Z(g)}{g^{k+1}} \,. \tag{2}$$

In order to evaluate Z_k for $k \gg 1$, we have first to estimate $\mathrm{Im}Z(g)$ for g small and *negative*. In order to set-up the perturbation expansion of Im Z we will formally use the representation

$$\mathrm{Im}\, Z(g) = \frac{1}{2i} \int \mathscr{D}\varphi \; e^{-S(\varphi)} \tag{3}$$

where the integral is performed over the real axis. Since Im $Z(g)$ is real, we expect that the determinant of fluctuation around the saddle point will be negative. Im $Z(g)$ is dominated by solutions of the equation of motion

$$(-\Delta + 1)\, \varphi_c(x) + g\varphi_c^3(x) = 0 \,, \tag{4}$$

that depend explicitly on the x space-time variable and that are non-vanishing in the large volume limit [1]. These solutions are called *instantons*. The mass counterterm is sub-leading because the saddle point solution is of order $(-g)^{-1/2}$. Rescaling

$$\varphi_c(x) = \frac{\Phi_c(x)}{\sqrt{-g}} \,, \tag{5}$$

we obtain an equation for $\Phi_c(x)$ independent of g

$$(-\Delta + 1)\, \Phi_c(x) - \Phi_c^3(x) = 0 \,, \tag{6}$$

Since the minimal action solution is spherically symmetric [1, 25], we can choose an arbitrary origin x_0 and we can write

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{d-1}{x}\frac{d}{dx} + 1 \right] \Phi_c(x) - \Phi_c^3(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

with the boundary condition $\Phi_c(x) \to 0$ for $x \to \infty$. We can have only even solutions in x; the initial condition can be imposed with the value of $\Phi_c(x)$ at the origin. Because of the sign ambiguity of this initial condition, we will have two families of solutions; when we sum over those families we will have a factor 2 to take into account. Moreover $\Phi_c(x)$ has no nodes, and decays exponentially to 0 for $x \to \infty$. Only in $d = 1$ we can solve analytically this equation and the solution is

$$\Phi_c(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\cosh x} \,, \quad d = 1 \,. \tag{8}$$

d	I_2	I_3	I_4	I_6
1	4	$\sqrt{2}\pi$	$\frac{16}{3}$	$\frac{128}{15}$
2	11.700896	15.109670	23.40179	71.080173
3	18.897251	31.691522	75.589005	659.868351

Table I: Numerical values of the integrals I_k defined in (9) for some k and in $d = 2, 3$. One dimensional values are analytical.

Defining the quantities

$$I_k = \int d^d x \; \Phi_c^k(x) \,, \tag{9}$$

we can write the action evaluated on the saddle point as

$$S_c \equiv S(\varphi_c) = -\frac{A}{g} \tag{10}$$

where

$$A = \frac{I_4}{4} = \frac{1}{d} \int d^d x \, (\nabla\Phi_c)^2 = \frac{I_4 - I_2}{d} = \frac{I_2}{4-d} > 0 \,. \tag{11}$$

These equalities are referred to as the *Virial Theorem* [1]. Next we have to perform the second order functional derivative of the action in order to evaluate Gaussian fluctuation around the instanton saddle point

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, x_2) &= \left. \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta\varphi(x_1)\delta\varphi(x_2)} \right|_{\varphi=\varphi_c} \\ &= \left[-\Delta_{x_1} + 1 - 3\Phi_c^2(x_1) \right] \delta(x_1 - x_2) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Shifting the field $\varphi(x) \to \varphi_c(x) + \varphi(x)$, we can write the imaginary part of the partition function in the one-loop approximation as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}Z &\simeq \frac{e^{A/g}}{i} \int \mathscr{D}\varphi \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int d^d x_1 d^d x_2 \, \varphi(x_1) M(x_1, x_2) \varphi(x_2)} \\ &= \frac{e^{A/g}}{i} \left(\det M M_0^{-1} \right)^{-1/2} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where M_0 is the operator with kernel

$$M_0(x_1, x_2) \equiv \left[-\Delta_{x_1} + 1 \right] \delta(x_1 - x_2) \,, \tag{14}$$

that is, the second functional derivative of the free action. However one has to notice that the operator M has d zero modes given by $\partial_\mu \Phi_c(x)$ as can be seen by simple differentiation of the equation of motion (6). This is of no surprise: the instanton solution, which depends the d parameters $x_{0\mu}$, breaks

explicitly the translational invariance of the action. We will see in the next section how to treat these zero modes using the *collective coordinates method*, which properly integrates over the parameters $x_{0\mu}$. As anticipated, note that the operator MM_0^{-1} has one, and only one, negative eigenvalue; for this reason the square root of the determinant is imaginary and the final result is real, as it should be.

A. Collective Coordinates Method

In full generality we will call

$$\chi_\mu(x) = \partial_\mu \Phi_c(x), \qquad \mu = 1, \dots, d \tag{15}$$

the d zero modes of M. In order to integrate explicitly over the parameters $x_{0\mu}$, with $\mu = 1, \dots, d$, we introduce the simple identity

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \int \frac{dx_{0\mu}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\int d^d x \, \chi_\mu(x) \partial_\mu \varphi(x + x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu}) \right] \\ \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\int d^d x \, \chi_\mu(x) \left(\varphi(x + x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu}) - \varphi_c(x) \right) \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

in our functional integral

$$\mathrm{Im}Z = \frac{1}{2i} \int \frac{d^d x_0}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int \mathscr{D}\varphi \, e^{-S_\chi(\varphi; x_0)}. \tag{17}$$

We have defined

$$\begin{aligned} S_\chi(\varphi; x_0) \equiv S(\varphi) - F_\chi(\varphi, x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left[\int d^d x \right. \\ \left. \chi_\mu(x - x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu}) \left(\varphi(x) - \varphi_c(x - x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu}) \right) \right]^2, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and the Jacobian

$$F_\chi(\varphi; x_0) \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \ln \int d^d x \, \chi_\mu(x - x_{0\mu}\,\hat{\mu}) \, \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \,. \tag{19}$$

Note that the new action is composed of a term translationally invariant, $S(\varphi)$, and of a term which breaks explicitly the symmetry. However, performing the change of variables $\varphi \to \varphi(x - x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu})$, $x \to x - x_{0\mu}\hat{\mu}$, it is easy to see that the dependence of x_0 disappears. This means that we get a factor proportional to the volume V

$$\mathrm{Im}Z = \frac{V}{2i\,(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int \mathscr{D}\varphi \, e^{-S_\chi(\varphi)}. \tag{20}$$

where we will call

$$\begin{aligned} S_\chi(\varphi) &\equiv S_\chi(\varphi, 0), \\ F_\chi(\varphi) &\equiv F_\chi(\varphi, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Now we proceed expanding around the instanton saddle point. The additional terms in $S_\chi(\varphi)$, does not change the saddle point of the old action $S(\varphi)$. In fact, the equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \frac{\delta S_\chi}{\delta\varphi(x_1)} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta\varphi(x_1)} + \\ + \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left[\int d^d x \, \chi_\mu(x) \left(\varphi(x) - \varphi_c(x) \right) \right] \chi_\mu(x_1), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

is satisfied for the usual saddle point $\varphi(x) = \varphi_c(x)$. We have not included the derivative of $F_\chi(\varphi)$ because it is of order $\sqrt{-g}$, so it will be relevant only at the two-loop order. When we compute the second functional derivative

$$\left. \frac{\delta^2 S_\chi}{\delta\varphi(x_1)\delta\varphi(x_2)} \right|_{\varphi=\varphi_c} = M(x_1, x_2) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \chi_\mu(x_1)\chi_\mu(x_2) \tag{23}$$

we get an additional term that is proportional to a sum over the projectors onto zero modes of $S(\varphi)$; therefore this will shift only the zero eigenvalues.

The leading order expansion of the Jacobian $F_\chi(\varphi)$ will produce a factor of the type

$$e^{F_\chi(\varphi_c)} = \frac{1}{(-g)^{d/2}} \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \alpha_\mu \,. \tag{24}$$

where we have denoted with α_μ the quantity

$$\alpha_\mu = \|\partial_\mu \Phi_c\|^2 = \int d^d x \left(\partial_\mu \Phi_c \right)^2 , \tag{25}$$

Using the spherical symmetry of the solution we can see that α_μ does not really depend on μ

$$\alpha_\mu = \frac{1}{d} \int d^d x \, \left(\nabla \Phi_c(x) \right)^2 = A, \tag{26}$$

so that

$$e^{F_\chi(\varphi_c)} = \frac{A^d}{(-g)^{d/2}} = \left[-\frac{1}{g} \left(\frac{I_4}{4} \right)^2 \right]^{d/2} . \tag{27}$$

Since our mass operator is that of equation (23), we have to evaluate

$$\det B = \det \left[M + \sum_\mu |\chi_\mu\rangle \langle\chi_\mu| \right] / \det M_0 \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \left[M + \sum_\mu |\chi_\mu\rangle \langle\chi_\mu| \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \\ &= I - Q + \sum_\mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} |\chi_\mu\rangle \langle\chi_\mu| \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Q is the Hermitian operator

$$Q \equiv 3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \Phi_c^2(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} , \tag{30}$$

so it has orthogonal eigenfunctions which we will call by $\overline{\psi_i}$

$$Q\,|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\,|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\ . \tag{31}$$

with eigenvalues $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}$. Numerically one can compute λ_i integrating

$$(-\Delta + 1)\,\psi_i = 3\lambda_i \Phi_c^2(x)\,\psi_i \tag{32}$$

where we define $|\psi_i\rangle$

$$|\psi_i\rangle \equiv M_0^{-1/2}\,|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\ . \tag{33}$$

Note that since the $|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle$ are normalized, we have

$$\langle\psi_i|\,M_0\,|\psi_i\rangle = 1\,. \tag{34}$$

Consequently the last term in the definition of B is diagonal in the base of Q because Q has eigenvectors with eigenvalue equal to 1 corresponding to χ; they are given by

$$|\overline{\chi}_\mu\rangle \equiv \frac{M_0^{1/2}\,|\chi_\mu\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\chi_\mu|\,M_0\,|\chi_\mu\rangle}}\,. \tag{35}$$

To write the last term in the definition of B in terms of the eigenvectors of Q, we evaluate the matrix element

$$\langle\overline{\chi}_{\mu'}|\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}\,|\chi_\mu\rangle\,\langle\chi_\mu|\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}\,|\overline{\chi}_\nu\rangle = \delta_{\mu\mu'}\,\delta_{\mu\nu}\frac{\langle\chi_\mu|\chi_\mu\rangle^2}{\langle\chi_\mu|\,M_0\,|\chi_\mu\rangle}\,. \tag{36}$$

Using again the spherical symmetry of the instanton solution, we can write respectively the numerator and denominator of (36) as

$$\langle\chi_\mu|\chi_\mu\rangle^2 = \left[\frac{1}{d}\int d^dx\,(\nabla\Phi_c)^2\right]^2 = A^2 = \left(\frac{I_4}{4}\right)^2\,, \tag{37a}$$

$$\langle\chi_\mu|\,M_0\,|\chi_\mu\rangle = \frac{3}{d}\int d^dx\,(\nabla\Phi_c)^2\,\Phi_c^2 = \frac{I_6 - I_4}{d}\,. \tag{37b}$$

Note that the $|\psi_i\rangle$ corresponding to $\lambda_i = 1$ are not equal to $|\chi_\mu\rangle$. We thus obtain the essential relationship

$$B = I - Q + \frac{d(I_4/4)^2}{(I_6 - I_4)}\sum_\mu |\overline{\chi}_\mu\rangle\,\langle\overline{\chi}_\mu|\ . \tag{38}$$

Expanding on the eigenvalues of Q, given in equation (31) we have

$$B = \sum_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\,\langle\overline{\psi}_i| + \frac{d(I_4/4)^2}{(I_6 - I_4)}\sum_{i,\lambda_i=1}|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\,\langle\overline{\psi}_i| \tag{39}$$

From the above expression we can express the determinant of B as

$$\det B = \left[\frac{d(I_4/4)^2}{(I_6 - I_4)}\right]^d \Omega^\perp\,, \tag{40}$$

where we have defined the orthogonal determinant

$$\Omega^\perp = \prod_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)\,. \tag{41}$$

In (40) we have taken into account the fact that there are d eigenvalues with $\lambda_i = 1$ that were obtained from the zero modes of M. In the final result for ImZ we have to multiply by $(\det B)^{-1/2}$ and the term $(I_4/4)^{-d}$ cancels with a similar term coming from the Jacobian of the collective coordinates transformation as in equation (27). The final effect of the collective coordinates method at the leading order is to produce a factor $(-g)^{-d/2}$.

In Appendix A we derive result (40) using time independent perturbation theory.

B. Determinant in one dimension

Only in one dimension the product (41) is convergent. Indeed, since the instanton solution is (8), the eigenvalue equation (32) can be easily solved because the potential is of the Bargmann type [17]. The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_i = \frac{i(i+1)}{6}\,, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{42}$$

so that the determinant orthogonal to zero modes is

$$\Omega^\perp \equiv \prod_{i=1,\,i\neq 2}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) = -2\prod_{i=3}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{6}{i(i+1)}\right)\,. \tag{43}$$

Performing the product we get

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=3}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{6}{i(i+1)}\right) &= \prod_{i=3}^{\infty}\left(\frac{i-2}{i}\,\frac{i+3}{i+1}\right)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2}{n(n-1)}\frac{(n+3)(n+2)}{20} = \frac{1}{10}\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

so that

$$\Omega^\perp = -\frac{1}{5}. \tag{45}$$

C. One-Loop Renormalization

Collecting all factors, the imaginary part of the partition function is

$$\mathrm{Im}Z = V\frac{e^{I_4/4g}}{(-g)^{d/2}}\left[\frac{I_6 - I_4}{2\pi d}\right]^{d/2}\left[-\Omega^\perp\right]^{-1/2}e^{\frac{1}{2g}\delta m^2_{(1)}I_2} \tag{46}$$

where the last term comes from the first order expansion of the mass counterterm which is

$$\delta m^2 \simeq \delta m^2_{(1)} = -3gG_0(0)\,, \tag{47}$$

d	1	2	3
$\Omega_R^\perp$	–	- 88.7823	-10.5459
$\Omega^\perp$	$-\frac{1}{5}$	–	–

Table II: Numerical estimate of $\Omega_R^\perp$ in dimension 2 and 3 using the Thomas-Fermi approximation. We also report the analytical value of $\Omega^\perp$ in one dimension.

where

$$G_0(x) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ipx}}{p^2+1} \,. \tag{48}$$

$G_0(0)$ is divergent in $d = 2$ and 3. Now we have to verify that the mass counterterm renormalizes the divergence coming from the orthogonal determinant $\Omega^\perp$. Indeed one can write $\Omega^\perp$ in terms of traces of the operator Q^n as

$$\Omega^\perp = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathrm{Tr}\, Q^n - d\right)\right\} . \tag{49}$$

Representing the $\Phi_c^2(y)$ insertion with a wiggly line one can clearly see that $\mathrm{Tr}\, Q^n$ is associated with the one-loop diagrams with $2n$ external Φ_c^2 legs. Therefore only the $n = 1$ term in (49) is divergent in 2 and 3 dimensions and it is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} = \quad = 3G_2(0)\, I_2 \,, \tag{50}$$

This divergence is canceled exactly by the mass counterterm. We can therefore define a renormalized determinant as

$$\Omega_R^\perp \equiv \Omega^\perp e^{3G_0(0)I_2} = e^d \prod_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) e^{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}} \tag{51}$$

The final result is

$$\mathrm{Im}Z = V \frac{e^{I_4/4g}}{(-g)^{d/2}} \left[\frac{I_6 - I_4}{2\pi d}\right]^{\frac{d}{2}} \left[-\Omega_R^\perp\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} [1 + O(g)] \tag{52}$$

The determinant $\Omega_R^\perp$ has been evaluated in dimension 2 and 3 by [24], using the *Thomas-Fermi approximation*, which gives an additional information coming from the asymptotic behavior of the large λ_k's. We review this procedure, in Appendix B. In Table II we report our numerical value of $\Omega_R^\perp$ in $d = 2$, 3 and the analytical value of $\Omega^\perp$ in one dimension (there is no need of renormalization in $d = 1$). Note that the numerical value of $\Omega_R^\perp$ in $d = 2$ we present is different from that of [24] probably due to a typo.

D. The Free Energy

Using the dispersion relation (2), we obtain the one-loop large order behavior of the partition function

$$Z_k \simeq V\, C\, (-A)^{-k}\, \Gamma\left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right] , \tag{53}$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{2\,(I_6 - I_4)}{\pi d\, I_4}\right]^{d/2} \left[-\Omega_R^\perp\right]^{-1/2} . \tag{54}$$

In order to evaluate the large order behavior of the free energy

$$F(g) = -\lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{1}{V} \ln Z(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k\, g^k \,, \tag{55}$$

one can use

$$F_k = -\frac{Z_k}{V} \left[1 - Z_1 \frac{Z_{k-1}}{Z_k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)\right] . \tag{56}$$

Since Z_k grows like $k!$, the term Z_{k-1}/Z_k is of order $1/k$, and it can be neglected at this order. We obtain

$$F_k = -C\,(-A)^{-k}\, \Gamma\left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right] . \tag{57}$$

III. TWO-LOOP ORDER EXPANSIONS

We will call the coefficient that contributes to the order g in the expansion of the imaginary part of Z as ξ

$$\mathrm{Im}Z = V \frac{e^{I_4/4g}}{(-g)^{d/2}} \left[\frac{I_6 - I_4}{2\pi d}\right]^{\frac{d}{2}} \left[-\Omega_R^\perp\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} [1 + \xi g] \,. \tag{58}$$

Using again the dispersion relation (2) the $1/k$ correction to the large order behavior of Z_k will be written in terms of ξ as

$$Z_k \simeq V\, C\, (-A)^{-k}\, \Gamma\left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right) \left[1 - \frac{\xi I_4}{4} \frac{1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)\right] . \tag{59}$$

Starting from the expression in equation (20), ξ will have contribution from

- $F_\chi(\varphi)$, i.e. the Jacobian of the collective coordinate change of variable;
- the third and fourth functional derivative of $S(\varphi)$ evaluated on the instanton;
- the mass counterterm δm^2.

Note that the projector onto the zero modes χ_μ which is present in $S_\chi(\varphi)$ and its second functional derivative (23) does not give any contribution to the third and fourth derivative of the action. The only non-trivial term coming from the collective coordinates that gives a contribution is the Jacobian $F_\chi(\varphi)$. This is also what happens in the one-dimensional case [18, 19], where the Jacobian contributes only with a one-leg vertex of order $\sqrt{-g}$. We will see that, in $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, there will be another additional vertex of order g. In the following subsections, we will analyze with care all these contributions mentioned above. We will recover the one-dimensional result and extend it to field theory.

A. Collective Coordinates Jacobian

The expansion of $F_\chi(\varphi)$ is

$$F_\chi(\varphi) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \ln\left[\frac{\alpha_\mu}{\sqrt{-g}} + \int d^d x\, \partial_\mu \Phi_c(x)\, \partial_\mu \varphi(x)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{\mu=1}^{d}\left[\ln\frac{\alpha_\mu}{\sqrt{-g}} + \ln\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{d\alpha_\mu}\int d^d x\, \Delta\Phi_c(x)\,\varphi(x)\right)\right] \quad (60)$$

The first term in the right hand side is the one-loop contribution. Expanding the logarithm and using (26), we have

$$F_\chi(\varphi) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \ln\alpha_\mu - \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{A}\int d^d x\, \Delta\Phi_c(x)\,\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{g}{A^2 d}\left[\int d^d x\, \Delta\Phi_c(x)\,\varphi(x)\right]^2 \quad (61)$$

We obtain two types of vertices, one of order $\sqrt{-g}$ and the other of order g

$\sigma = -\dfrac{\sqrt{-g}}{A}$ ⟶

$\tau = \dfrac{g}{2A^2 d}$ ⟶ (62)

respectively with one and two external legs.

B. Action

The third and fourth derivative of the action are

$$-\left.\frac{\delta^3 S}{\delta\varphi(x_1)\delta\varphi(x_2)\delta\varphi(x_3)}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_c} = 6\sqrt{-g}\,\Phi_c(x)\,\delta(x_1-x_2)\,\delta(x_1-x_3)$$
$$-\left.\frac{\delta^4 S}{\delta\varphi(x_1)\delta\varphi(x_2)\delta\varphi(x_3)\delta\varphi(x_4)}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_c} = -6g\,\delta(x_1-x_2)\,\delta(x_1-x_3)\,\delta(x_1-x_4)$$

We keep the factors 1/3! and 1/4! in order to maintain the usual symmetry factors in the diagrams. One can define three and four-legs vertices as

$\lambda = 6\sqrt{-g}$ ⟶

$\alpha = -6g$ ⟶ (63)

C. Counterterms

At the two loop order we can write the mass counterterm as

$$\delta m^2 = \delta m^2_{(1)} + \delta m^2_{(2)} = -3gG_0(0) + 6g^2 K(0), \quad (64)$$

where

$$K(p) = \int \frac{d^d k_1}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{d^d k_2}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{k_1^2+1}\,\frac{1}{k_2^2+1}\,\frac{1}{(p-k_1-k_2)^2+1}\,. \quad (65)$$

When $p = 0$ this is logarithmically divergent in $d = 3$ and convergent in $d = 2$. Expanding the action counterterm around the instanton solution, we have

$$-\frac{\delta m^2}{2}\int d^d x\,\varphi^2(x) = -\frac{3}{2}G_0(0)I_2 + 3gK(0)I_2 + \gamma\int d^d x\,\Phi_c(x)\,\varphi(x) + \frac{\delta}{2}\int d^d x\,\varphi^2(x), \quad (66)$$

where γ and δ represents two additional vertices, with one and two external legs respectively. We denote them by a little cross

$\gamma = -3\sqrt{-g}\,G_0(0)$ ⟶

$\delta = 3g\,G_0(0)$ ⟶ (67)

Obviously these vertices are not present in dimension 1, since renormalization is not needed.

IV. DIAGRAMS

In the previous section we have obtained, expanding the action around the instanton, a theory with cubic and quartic terms. The vertices are both of order $\sqrt{-g}$ and g. This remembers the perturbative expansion of the ϕ^4 theory under the critical temperature. The propagator of our theory is

$$L(x,y) = \langle x|\left[M + \sum_{\mu=1}^{d}\chi_\mu\chi_\mu\right]^{-1}|y\rangle\,. \quad (68)$$

Combining all vertices to the order g we obtain the diagrams

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) L(x,x) L(x,y) L(y,y) \Phi_c(y)$

$= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) L^3(x,y) \Phi_c(y)$

$= \int d^d x\, L^2(x,x)$

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) L(x,y) L(y,y) \Phi_c(y)$

$= \int d^d x\, L(x,x)$

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) L(x,y) \Phi_c(y)$

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Delta\Phi_c(x) L(x,y) L(y,y) \Phi_c(y)$

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Delta\Phi_c(x) L(x,y) \Phi_c(y)$

 $= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Delta\Phi_c(x) L(x,y) \Delta\Phi_c(y)$

which have multiplicity respectively


$$\longrightarrow \frac{\lambda^2}{8} = -\frac{9}{2}g\,; \tag{69a}$$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{\lambda^2}{3!\,2} = -3g\,; \tag{69b}$$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{\alpha}{8} = -\frac{3}{4}g\,; \tag{69c}$$


$$\longrightarrow \frac{\gamma\lambda}{2} = 9g\,G_0(0)\,; \tag{69d}$$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{3}{2}g\,G_0(0)\,; \tag{69e}$$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{\gamma^2}{2} = -\frac{9}{2}g\,G_0^2(0)\,; \tag{69f}$$


$$\longrightarrow \frac{\sigma\lambda}{2} = \frac{3g}{A}\,; \tag{69g}$$


$$\longrightarrow \sigma\gamma = -\frac{3g}{A}G_0(0)\,; \tag{69h}$$


$$\longrightarrow \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \tau = -\frac{g(d-1)}{2A^2 d}\,. \tag{69i}$$

Note that to this order we do not obtain disconnected diagrams. The two-loop correction to the imaginary part of the partition function ξ, is expressed in terms of diagrams as

$$\xi = -\frac{9}{2}\Big[$$  $$+ G_0^2(0)$$  $$\Big] - 3\Big[$$ $$- I_2 K(0)\Big]$$
$$- \frac{3}{4}\Big[$$  $$\Big] + \frac{3}{A}\Big[$$  $$\Big] - \frac{(d-1)}{2Ad}$$ $$\tag{70}$$

A. The One-Dimensional Case

When $d = 1$, only diagrams (69a), (69b), (69c) and (69g) contribute, consistently with the result of Auberson et al. and of Collins et al. [18, 19]. Note that diagram (69i), cancels because of the multiplicity factor proportional to $d - 1$. Following [18] in this case $L(x, y)$ can be calculated exactly and it is given by

$$L(x,y) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\left[u(x)\,v(y) - v(x)\,u(y)\right] + \frac{\sinh x \sinh y}{4}\left[\frac{1}{\cosh^2 x} + \frac{1}{\cosh^2 y} - \frac{1}{\cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y}\right] \tag{71}$$

where

$$u(x) = \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh^2 x} \tag{72a}$$

$$v(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\cosh x + \frac{3}{2\cosh x} - \frac{3x}{2}\frac{\sinh x}{\cosh^2 x}\,. \tag{72b}$$

In Eq. (71) we take the plus sign if $x < y$ and the minus sign otherwise. Using the explicit expression of the propagator one can evaluate analytically the diagrams. Their value is


$$= \frac{13}{168}\,; \tag{73a}$$

$$= -\frac{71}{2016}\,; \tag{73b}$$

$$= \frac{V}{4} - \frac{319}{420}\,; \tag{73c}$$


$$= \frac{53}{180}\,. \tag{73d}$$

Using that $A = I_4/4 = 4/3$ in one dimension, the two loop correction ξ will be

$$\xi = \frac{95}{96} - \frac{3}{16}V\,. \tag{74}$$

B. Renormalization

The propagator L can be written in terms of B as

$$L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}\frac{1}{B}\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \tag{75}$$

The inverse of B can be easily written using its diagonal base (see equation (39))

$$\frac{1}{B} = \sum_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{-1} |\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\langle\overline{\psi}_i| + \frac{(I_6 - I_4)}{d(I_4/4)^2}\sum_{i,\lambda_i=1}|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\langle\overline{\psi}_i| \tag{76}$$

In this way we have

$$L = \sum_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\langle\overline{\psi}_i|\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} + \frac{(I_6 - I_4)}{d(I_4/4)^2}\sum_{i,\lambda_i=1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}|\overline{\psi}_i\rangle\langle\overline{\psi}_i|\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \tag{77}$$

Using equation (33) and (37b), we have

$$L = \sum_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{-1}|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| + \frac{1}{(I_4/4)^2}\sum_{\mu}|\chi_\mu\rangle\langle\chi_\mu| \tag{78}$$

The above result can be plugged into the diagrammas directly in $d = 1$. In dimension 2 and 3, instead, one has to take care of renormalization and a different expression must be used. In this case we write

$$L = \frac{1}{M_0} + H\,, \tag{79}$$

where

$$H = \sum_{i,\lambda_i\neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - 1} |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i| + \left(\frac{(I_6 - I_4)}{d(I_4/4)^2} - 1\right) \sum_{i,\lambda_i=1} |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i| \,. \tag{80}$$

Note that every time we have a propagator L with equal arguments, we will get a divergence of the type $G_0(0)$ coming from the first term in equation (79). Using the decomposition (79), we can write the diagrams in this way



$$= -VG_0^2(0) + \int d^d x\, H^2(x,x), \tag{81a}$$



$$= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) H(x,x) L(x,y) H(y,y) \Phi_c(y), \tag{81b}$$



$$= \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Delta\Phi_c(x) L(x,y) H(y,y) \Phi_c(y) \tag{81c}$$

We expect that the preceding integral expressions are finite. The only diagram which is not completely renormalized is that of equation (81a). We will see later that the additional divergence $VG_0^2(0)$ is a feature of the partition function; once we compute the free energy of the system this infinity will be canceled.

Substituting $L(x,y) \to G_0(x-y)$ in (69c), and passing to Fourier space, we get

$$= \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \Phi_c^2(p) K(p) \tag{82}$$

When k_1 and k_2 in (65) are large, this expression reduces to

$$\simeq I_2 K(0), \tag{83}$$

which cancels exactly with the corresponding counterterm. With these considerations in mind we obtain

$$= S + R \tag{84}$$

where

$$S = \int d^d x\, d^d y\, \Phi_c(x) \left[3G_0^2(x-y) H(x,y) + 3G_0(x-y) H^2(x,y) + H^3(x,y)\right] \Phi_c(y), \tag{85a}$$

$$R = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \Phi_c^2(p) \left[K(p) - K(0)\right] . \tag{85b}$$

We expect that also these two quantities are finite. The difference $K(p) - K(0)$ can be written as [3]

$$K(p) - K(0) = \frac{\Gamma(3-d)}{(4\pi)^d} \int_0^1 \frac{dx\, dy\, dz}{(xy + zx + yz)^{d/2}} \left[\left(\frac{xyz}{xy + zx + yz} p^2 + 1\right)^{d-3} - 1\right] \delta(x + y + z - 1) \,. \tag{86}$$

This expression can be directly used in $d = 2$, since the $K(0)$ counterterm do not have divergences. In $d = 3$, the $K(0)$ subtraction cancels the pole of $\Gamma(3-d)$. Explicitly

$$K(p) - K(0) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \int_0^1 \frac{dx\, dy\, dz}{(xy + zx + yz)^{3/2}} \ln\left(\frac{xyz}{xy + zx + yz} p^2 + 1\right) \delta(x + y + z - 1) \,. \tag{87}$$

C. Free Energy

As anticipated, we expect that, when we compute the free energy, the last divergence isolated in equation (81a) will be canceled in $d = 2, 3$. Starting from (59) we compute the ratio

$$\frac{Z_{k-1}}{Z_k} = -\frac{I_4}{4k} \,. \tag{88}$$

and

$$Z_1 = \frac{3}{4} V G_0^2(0), \tag{89}$$

Plugging these two results into identity (56), we have

$$F_k = -C\,(-A)^{-k}\, \Gamma\left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right) \times \left[1 - \left(\xi - \frac{3}{4} V G_0^2(0)\right) \frac{I_4}{4k}\right] . \tag{90}$$

Therefore the infinite contribution coming from Z_1 completely renormalizes the last divergence.

When $d = 1$, instead, we have to use

$$Z_1 = -\frac{3}{16} V, \tag{91}$$

since the free propagator is $G_0(x) = e^{-x}/2$. The two loop correction to the free energy is

$$-\left(\xi - \frac{3}{16} V\right) \frac{I_4}{4} = -\frac{95}{72} \,. \tag{92}$$

This final result can be matched with that of [18, 19].

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to lighten the notation we rewrite H as a sum over all eigenvalues

$$H = \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i - 1} |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i| \,; \tag{93}$$

in which it is assumed that the eigenvalue equal to one must be replaced by

$$1 + \left(\frac{(I_6 - I_4)}{d(I_4/4)^2} - 1\right)^{-1} , \tag{94}$$

to be consistent with equation (80).

A. Case d=2

In $d = 2$ the eigenfunctions are decomposed as

$$\psi_i(x) = R_{nm}(r_x)\frac{e^{im\phi_x}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \tag{95}$$

$H(x, y)$ can be written as a function of r_x, r_y and $\theta = \phi_y - \phi_x$, i.e. the angle between x and y

$$H(r_x, r_y, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{e^{im\theta}}{\lambda_{nm}-1}R_{nm}(r_x)R_{nm}(r_y)\,. \tag{96}$$

The multiplicity can be highlighted using only the sum over $m \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} H(r_x, r_y, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda_{nm}-1}R_{nm}(r_x) \\ \times\left[2\cos(m\theta) - \delta_{m,0}\right]R_{nm}(r_y)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

For $x = y$ this expression no longer depends on the angle θ

$$H(r_x) \equiv H(r_x, r_x, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{2-\delta_{m,0}}{\lambda_{nm}-1}R^2_{nm}(r_x) \tag{98}$$

B. Case d=3

In $d = 3$ the eigenfunctions are decomposed in this way

$$\psi_i(x) = R_{nl}(r_x)\,Y_{lm}(\theta_x, \phi_x), \tag{99}$$

Using the identity

$$\sum_{m=-l}^{l} Y^*_{lm}(\theta_x, \phi_x)\,Y_{lm}(\theta_y, \phi_y) = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi}P_l(\cos\theta), \tag{100}$$

where P_l is the Legendre polynomial of degree l and θ is the angle between x and y, $H(x, y)$ can be written as

$$H(r_x, r_y, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\frac{2l+1}{\lambda_{nl}-1}P_l(\cos\theta)R_{nl}(r_x)R_{nl}(r_y) \tag{101}$$

that is a function of r_x, r_y and θ. For $x = y$ the dependence on θ drops

$$H(r_x) \equiv H(r_x, r_x, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\frac{2l+1}{\lambda_{nl}-1}R^2_{nl}(r_x)\,. \tag{102}$$

C. Final Expressions of diagrams

Since the dipendence on r_x, r_y and θ is present both in 2 and 3-dimensional expression of H and in the free propagator

$$G_0(r_x, r_y, \theta) \equiv G_0\left(\sqrt{r_x^2 + r_y^2 - 2r_x r_y\cos\theta}\right) \tag{103}$$

	$d = 2$	$d = 3$
(106)	0.0555027(6)	0.058015(6)
(109a)	−0.11569(2)	0.38075(8)
(109b)	−0.20829(2)	10.0620(5)
(109c)	−0.0130032(1)	−14.170027(1)
S	−0.0875141(4)	−1.1295(3)
R	$-7.19815\cdot10^{-3}$	$-5.45801\cdot10^{-3}$

Table III: Numerical estimate of Diagrams. The errors come from the extrapolations to an infinite number of eigenfunctions.

	$d = 2$	$d = 3$
$\xi - \frac{3}{4}VG_0^2(0)$	0.6553(2)	3.4988(9)

Table IV: Numerical estimate of $\xi - \frac{3}{4}VG_0^2(0)$. The error comes from the extrapolations to an infinite number of eigenfunctions.

one can write the 2d-dimensional integrals in diagrams (81b), (81c) and (84) as

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^dx\,d^dy\; f(r_x, r_y, \theta) = \Omega_{d-1}\,\Omega_{d-2}\int dr_x\,dr_y \\ \int_0^{\pi} d\theta\, r_x^{d-1} r_y^{d-1}\,(\sin\theta)^{d-2}\, f(r_x, r_y, \theta), \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

where $f(r_x, r_y, \theta)$ is the specific function to integrate and Ω_{d-1} is the surface area of the unit radius d-sphere

$$\Omega_{d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}\,. \tag{105}$$

Diagram (81a) reads

$$\int d^dx\, H^2(x, x) = \Omega_{d-1}\int dr_x\, r_x^{d-1}\, H^2(r_x)\,. \tag{106}$$

In diagrams (81b), (81c) and (69i), one further simplification can be made. Since there is only one $H(r_x, r_y, \theta)$ term, one can perform exactly the integration over θ. In $d = 3$ one can use the properties of Legendre Polynomials

$$\int_{-1}^{1} d\theta\, P_l(\theta) = 2\int_0^1 d\theta\, P_{2l}(\theta) = 2\delta_{l,0}\,, \tag{107}$$

instead in $d = 2$ the integral is simply

$$\int_0^{\pi} d\theta\cos(m\theta) = \pi\delta_{l,0}\,. \tag{108}$$

The previously mentioned diagrams can be written as

$$(81b) = \Omega_{d-1}\Omega_{d-2} \int dr_x\, dr_y\, r_x^{d-1} r_y^{d-1} \times \Phi_c(r_x) H(r_x) L(r_x, r_y) H(r_y) \Phi_c(r_y) \tag{109a}$$

$$(81c) = \Omega_{d-1}\Omega_{d-2} \int dr_x\, dr_y\, r_x^{d-1} r_y^{d-1} \times \Delta\Phi_c(r_x) L(r_x, r_y) H(r_y) \Phi_c(r_y) \tag{109b}$$

$$(69i) = \Omega_{d-1}\Omega_{d-2} \int dr_x\, dr_y\, r_x^{d-1} r_y^{d-1} \times \Delta\Phi_c(r_x) L(r_x, r_y) \Delta\Phi_c(r_y) \tag{109c}$$

where $L(r_x, r_y)$ is

$$L(r_x, r_y) = \int_0^\pi d\theta\, (\sin\theta)^{d-2}\, G_0(r_x, r_y, \theta) + H(r_x, r_y) \tag{110}$$

and $H(r_x, r_y)$ is given by

$$H(r_x, r_y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{nm} \frac{\delta_{m,0}}{\lambda_{nm} - 1} R_{nm}(r_x) R_{nm}(r_y), \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{nl} \frac{\delta_{l,0}}{\lambda_{nl} - 1} R_{nl}(r_x) R_{nl}(r_y), \end{cases} \tag{111}$$

respectively in $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

In Table III we report the numerical estimate of diagrams and of quantity R defined in (85b). In Table IV the numerical value of the correction $\xi - \frac{3}{4} V G_0^2(0)$ is given. All the errors come from a linear extrapolation to an infinite number of eigenfunction.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have discussed, using the Lipatov approach, how to evaluate the large order behaviour of a perturbative series. We have taken into consideration the simple case of the partition function of the φ^4 theory. We have computed the $1/k$ correction to the perturbative coefficient of the partition function Z_k and of the free energy F_k. In dimension 1, our results coincide with that of Bender and Wu [12] using a WKB approach and of [18, 19] using Lipatov method. When $d = 2$ or 3, we have shown that there are the same diagrams present in dimension 1, plus one that comes from the Jacobian of the collective coordinates method. All these quantities have been evaluated numerically.

It would be interesting to perform the same calculations for the $2M$-point Green function, which is important in order to evaluate the large order behaviour of the critical exponents perturbative series.

Appendix A: Obtaining (40) by Perturbation Theory

Using the definition of α_μ in (25), the determinant will be

$$\det\left[\left(M + \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} |\chi_\mu\rangle \langle\chi_\mu|\right) M_0^{-1}\right] = \Omega \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \alpha_\mu = \Omega \left(\frac{I_4}{4}\right)^d , \tag{A1}$$

where

$$\Omega \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \det\left[(M + \varepsilon)(M_0 + \varepsilon)^{-1}\right] = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\det(1 - \Xi(\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^d} \tag{A2}$$

and

$$\Xi(\varepsilon) = 3\,(M_0 + \varepsilon)^{-1}\, \Phi_c^2(x) = \frac{3}{-\Delta + 1 + \varepsilon} \Phi_c^2(x)\,. \tag{A3}$$

$\Xi(\varepsilon)$ is *not* an Hermitian operator, but it has real and positive spectrum since it is equivalent to an operator

$$Q(\varepsilon) = 3\,(M_0 + \varepsilon)^{-1/2}\, \Phi_c^2(x)\, (M_0 + \varepsilon)^{-1/2}\,, \tag{A4}$$

via

$$\Xi(\varepsilon) = (M_0 + \varepsilon)^{-1/2}\, Q(\varepsilon)\, (M_0 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \tag{A5}$$

which is Hermitian and positive [1, 24]. We will call the eigenvalues of $\Xi(\varepsilon)$ by $1/\lambda_i(\varepsilon)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$; for simplicity we will denote them by $1/\lambda_i$ when $\varepsilon = 0$. They can be obtained by a numerical solution of the equation

$$(-\Delta + 1 + \varepsilon)\, \psi_i = 3\lambda_i(\varepsilon)\, \Phi_c^2(x)\, \psi_i\,. \tag{A6}$$

In the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ we know that the ground state and the first (d-times degenerate) excited state solution of this equation are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= \frac{1}{3}, \quad \psi_0(x) = \Phi_c(x)\,; \\ \lambda_1 &= 1\,, \quad \psi_1(x) = \partial_\mu \Phi_c(x)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

λ_0 will give the only negative contribution to the determinant. Since $(1 - 1/\lambda_1(\varepsilon))$ is of order ε when $\varepsilon \neq 0$ but small, we can write Ω defined in equation (A2), in terms of $\Omega^\perp$, the orthogonal determinant to null modes

$$\Omega = \Omega^\perp \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon}\right)^d \tag{A8a}$$

$$\Omega^\perp = \prod_{i, \lambda_i \neq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right). \tag{A8b}$$

(A6) is an equation of the Schrödinger type with potential $-3\lambda_i(\varepsilon)\Phi_c^2(x)$ and energy $-1 - \varepsilon$. Since we want to evaluate the first order expansion of $\lambda_1(\varepsilon)$ in ε, we can use first order perturbation theory. The perturbing Hamiltonian will be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}_p &= -3\Phi_c^2(x)(\lambda_1(\varepsilon) - 1) \\ &= -3\Phi_c^2(x) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\varepsilon)}\right) + O(\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

and the corresponding energy shift is $-\varepsilon$. The unperturbed eigenstates $\partial_\mu \Phi_c(x)$ are d times degenerate. However, the perturbing Hamiltonian is diagonal in the space of unperturbed eigenstates $\partial_\mu \Phi_c(x)$, with all equal elements on the diagonal.

In fact, using the invariance under space rotations of $\Phi_c(x)$ we have

$$\langle \partial_\mu \Phi_c | \, \mathscr{H}_p \, | \partial_\nu \Phi_c \rangle = \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{d} \int d^d x \, \Phi_c^2(x) \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2 \ . \quad \text{(A10)}$$

We conclude that $\lambda_1(\varepsilon)$ remains d times degenerate at first order in ε. Therefore we can then use simple time independent, non-degenerate perturbation theory; using again the spherical symmetry of the instanton solution $\Phi_c(x)$, the energy shift is

$$-\epsilon = \frac{\langle \partial_\mu \Phi_c | \, \mathscr{H}_p \, | \partial_\mu \Phi_c \rangle}{\langle \partial_\mu \Phi_c | \partial_\mu \Phi_c \rangle} \quad \text{(A11)}$$

$$= -3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{\int d^d x \, \Phi_c^2(x) \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2}{\int d^d x \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2} \, . \quad \text{(A12)}$$

Using an integration by parts

$$\int d^d x \, \Phi_c^2(x) \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2 = -2 \int d^d x \, \Phi_c^2(x) \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2 - \int d^d x \, \Phi_c^3(x) \, (\Delta \Phi_c(x)) \quad \text{(A13)}$$

and the equation of motion (6), we have that the numerator of (A12) is

$$\int d^d x \, \Phi_c^2(x) \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2 = \frac{1}{3} \, (I_6 - I_4) \ . \quad \text{(A14)}$$

The denominator is simply

$$\int d^d x \, (\nabla \Phi_c(x))^2 = I_4 - I_2 = \frac{1}{4} dI_4 \, . \quad \text{(A15)}$$

Inserting into (A12) we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} dI_4}{I_6 - I_4} \, . \quad \text{(A16)}$$

Collecting all factors we get the same result obtained in (40).

Appendix B: The Thomas-Fermi Approximation

Let us consider the generic Hamiltonian

$$\mathscr{H}(p, x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} p_\mu^2 - \lambda V(x) , \qquad V(x) > 0 , \quad \text{(B1)}$$

where the potential $V(x)$ goes to zero at infinity. Let us call $N(\lambda)$ the number of eigenvalues smaller then λ. $N(\lambda)$ will have a jump all the time there is an eigenvalue and the height of the jump corresponds to the degeneracy of eigenvalue itself. The *Thomas-Fermi approximation* gives us the behaviour of $N(\lambda)$ for large λ [3]

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} N(\lambda) = N_{\rm as}(\lambda) = \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{d} \int \frac{d^d x}{(2\pi)^d} \, (\lambda V(x))^{d/2} \ . \quad \text{(B2)}$$



Figure 1: $N(\lambda)$ as a function of λ in $d = 2$: the red line is the numerical result; the blu line is $N_{\rm as}(\lambda)$, the asymptotic Thomas-Fermi estimate (see equation (B4)).



Figure 2: $N(\lambda)$ as a function of λ in $d = 3$: the red line is the numerical result; the blu line is $N_{\rm as}(\lambda)$, the asymptotic Thomas-Fermi estimate (see equation (B4)).

Note that $N_{\rm as}(\lambda)$ is a continuous function of its argument. Differentiating with respect to λ one gets the asymptotic spectral density

$$\rho_{\rm as}(\lambda) = \frac{dN_{\rm as}}{d\lambda} = \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{2}\lambda^{d/2-1}\int\frac{d^dx}{(2\pi)^d}\,(V(x))^{d/2}\,. \tag{B3}$$

In our case $V(x) = 3\Phi_c^2(x)$, so that

$$N_{\rm as}(\lambda) = \frac{3^{d/2}}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{d}I_d\lambda^{d/2}, \tag{B4}$$

$$\rho_{\rm as}(\lambda) = \frac{3^{d/2}\Omega_{d-1}}{2\,(2\pi)^d}I_d\lambda^{d/2-1}\,. \tag{B5}$$

In Fig. 1 and 2 one can see a comparison between the numerical $N(\lambda)$ and the asymptotic Thomas-Fermi estimate (B4), in dimension 2 and 3 respectively. This information can be of great utility in order to numerically evaluate the orthogonal determinant [24]. Suppose we perform the product in (51) until the eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}$. We can then use

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{i\neq1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)e^{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}} \simeq \prod_{\lambda_k<\overline{\lambda}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)e^{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}\\ &\exp\left\{\int_{\overline{\lambda}}^{\infty}d^d\lambda\,\rho_{\rm as}(\lambda)\left[\ln\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right]\right\}\\ &\simeq e^{-C_d\overline{\lambda}^{d/2-2}}\prod_{\lambda_k<\overline{\lambda}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)e^{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

where

$$C_d = \frac{3^{d/2}\Omega_{d-1}}{2\,(2\pi)^d}\frac{I_d}{4-d}\,. \tag{B7}$$

The convergence of $\Omega_R^{\perp}$ with the Thomas-Fermi factor is relatively faster.

[1] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, Oxford Science Publications (2012).

[2] F. J. Dyson, *Divergence of Perturbation Theory in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **85**, 631 (1952).

[3] G. Parisi, *Statistical Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley (1988).

[4] D. Bessis, M. Pusteria, *Unitary Padè approximants in strong coupling field theory and application to the calculation of the ρ- and f_0-meson Regge trajectories*, Il Nuovo Cimento A **54** (1965-1970) (1968) 243-294.

[5] G.A. Baker, B.G. Nickel, M.S. Green, D.I. Meiron, *Ising-model critical indices in three dimensions from the Callan-Symanzik equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36** (1976) 1351-1354.

[6] J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Critical exponents for the n-vector model in three dimensions from field theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39** (1977) 95.

[7] J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Critical exponents from field theory*, Phys. Rev. B **21** (1980) 3976-3998.

[8] J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Accurate critical exponents from field theory*, J. Physique **50** (1989) 1365.

[9] J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Accurate critical exponents from the ϵ-expansion*, J. Physique Lett **46** (1985) L137.

[10] J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Accurate critical exponents for Ising like systems in non-integer dimensions*, J. Physique **48** (1987) 19.

[11] A. Berkovich and J.H. Lowenstein, *Essentially perturbative quantities in the Kondo model*, Phys. Rev. B **32** (1985) 7263.

[12] C.M. Bender, T.T. Wu, *Large-Order Behavior of Perturbation Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 461 (1971).

[13] C.M. Bender, T.T. Wu, *Anharmonic Oscillator II. A Study in Perturbation Theory in Large Order*, Phys. Rev. D, **7**, 1620 (1973).

[14] CS. Lam, *Behavior of very-high-order perturbation diagrams*, Nuovo Cimento A LV (1968) 258.

[15] L. N. Lipatov, *Divergence of the perturbation-theory series and pseudoparticles*, JETP Lett. **25** (1977).

[16] L.N. Lipatov, *Divergence of the perturbation-theory series and the quasi-classical theory*, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 216.

[17] E. Brèzin, J. C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, *Perturbation Theory at large order: I. The ϕ^{2N} interaction*, Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 1544.

[18] G. Auberson, G. Mennessier, G. Mahoux, *On the Perturbation Theory of the Anharmonic Oscillator at Large Orders*, Il Nuovo Cimento, **48** A, 1 (1978).

[19] J. C. Collins, D.E. Soper, *Large order expansion in perturbation theory*, Ann. Phys. **112** (1978).

[20] J. J. Loeffel, A. Martin, B. Simon, A.S. Wightman, Phys. Lett. B **30** (1968) 1656.

[21] B. Simon, Ann. Phys. **50** (1970) 76.

[22] J.P. Eckmann, J. Magnen, R. Sénéor, Comm. Math. Phys. **39** (1975) 251.

[23] J. Zittartz and J.S. Langer, *Theory of bound states in a random potential*, Phys. Rev. **148** (1966) 741.

[24] E. Brèzin, G. Parisi, *Critical Exponents and Large-Order Behavior of Perturbation Theory*, J. Stat. Phys. **19**, 3 (1978).

[25] S. Coleman, V. Glazer, A. Martin, *Action minima among solutions to a class of Euclidean scalar field equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **58** (1978).